## PHENAZINE DERIVATIVES FROM Pseudomonas

## SP. STRAIN 2/3

## M. V. Petrenko and A. V. Borovkov

UDC 576.8.541.12:547.9

In a study of the reason for the phytotoxic properties of the soil bacterium <u>Pseudomonas sp.</u>, strain 2/3, we found that an ethereal extract of this microorganism grown on an agarized medium containing glycerol [1] contained (according to paper chromatography under the conditions described by Gerber et al. [2]) at least five pigments which inhibited the growth of the seeds of a number of plants to different extents.

Pigments F-1, F-2, and F-3, which predominated in the ethereal extract, were isolated in the individual states by chromatography on a column of silica gel (KSK, 70-200 mesh) with an average yield of 2-3 mg/dm<sup>2</sup> of solid nutrient medium.

F-1 formed lemon-yellow needles, mp 239-240°C (methanol), % N 12.7;  $\nu_{\rm max}$  (paraffin oil), cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1740, 1667, 1600, 1563, 1525, 1473, 1430, 1362, 1270, 1240, 1140, 885, 865, 760;  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm CH_3OH}$  250, 365 nm.

F-2 formed dark orange needles, decomp. > 220°C (methanol), % N11.5;  $\nu_{\rm max}$  (paraffin oil), cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1670, 1630, 1610, 1570, 1470, 1370, 1270, 1130, 995, 970, 855, 770;  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{0.1}$  N HCl 260, 275 nm.

F-3 formed yellow-green crystals, mp 252°C (benzene), % N 14.47;  $\nu_{\rm max}$  (paraffin oil), cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1635, 1605, 1480, 1460, 1288, 1230, 1203, 1125, 860, 830  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{0.1}$  N HCl 215, 263, 390 nm.

On the basis of the facts given above and literature information [3] and also by a direct comparison with a synthetic sample which we obtained by the method of Mokrushin et al. [4], the pigment F-1 was identified as phenazine-1-carboxylic acid.

The IR and UV spectra of pigment F-2 were identical with those given in the literature [5, 6] for 2-hydroxyphenazine-1-carboxylic acid. Substance F-3 was identified by its physicochemical and spectroscopic characteristics as 2-hydroxyphenazine [7-9].

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. E. A. Kiprianova, A. S. Rabinovich, et al., Antibiotiki, (3), 228, 1969.
- 2. N. N. Gerber and M. P. Lechevalier, Biochemistry, 3 (4) 598, 1964.
- 3. T. Higashihara and A. Sato, Agr. Biol. Chem., 33 (12) 1802, 1969.
- 4. V. S. Mokrushin and Z. V. Pushkareva, et al., Khim.-Farm. Zh., 3 (8), 32, 1969.
- 5. J. I. Toohey and C. D. Nelson, et al., Can. J. Botany, 43, 1055, 1965.
- 6. E. S. Olsen and J. H. Richards, J. Org. Chem., 32 (9), 2887, 1967.
- 7. J. F. Corbett, Spectrochim Acta, 20, (11) 1665, 1964.
- 8. M. E. Levitch and P. Rietz, Biochemistry, 5 (2) 689, 1966.
- 9. J. C. Hill and G. T. Johnson, Mycologia, 61 (3) 452, 1969.

All-Union Scientific-Research Institute for Agricultural Microbiology. Translated from Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii, No. 6, p. 779, November-December, 1970. Original article submitted October 5, 1970.

<sup>© 1973</sup> Consultants Bureau, a division of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N. Y. 10011. All rights reserved. This article cannot be reproduced for any purpose whatsoever without permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.